NEW YORK TIMES

31 OCT 1973

Knopf Sues Over C.I.A. Censoring of Dook

By GEORGE GENT

called the second Pentagon papers case, the authors and publisher of a forthcoming book about the Central Intelligence Agency filed suit in Federal Court yesterday toenjoin the Government from deleting roughly 10 per cent of the book's material and to halt all interference with its publication.

The suit was filed on behalf of Alfred A. Knopf, Inc., March 29, 1972, Knopf and publisher of the book, which has the working title of "The C.I.A. and the Cult of Intelligence," and the co-authors, Victor L. Marchetti, a former executive assistant to the C.I.A.'s deputy director, and John D. Marks, a former State Department employe. A previous court ruling on a C.I.A. review of the unsubmitted manuscript.

Named as defendants in and Secretary of State Kissinger, whose department employed Mr. Marks.

time in the country's history signed on joining the agency

-the first was in the Penta- in 1955, and from disclosing wants to keep classified.

Prior Restraints Cited

The Government's action. the brief says, violates the Constitution's First and Fifth Amendments by prohibiting the plaintiffs from delivering an uncensored version of the manuscript to the publisher: a "forbidden prior restraint upon freedom of the press," in that publication of the censored material would not "surely result in direct, immediate and irreparable injury to the nation or its peo- specifying ple. The brief goes on to signed by both Mr. Marchetti and Mr. Marks were unconstitutional prior restraints on formation. the freedoms of speech and the press.

in the Pentagon papers case an uncensored copy. and who now represents Subsequently meetings by

In what their lawyers rulings on the case in question had been concerned with Mr. Marchetti and his alleged obligations under the secrecy provision he signed when he joined the C.I.A. in

"What is new here is that Knopf has entered the case under the freedom of the press statutes," Mr. Abrams

The brief notes that on Mr. Marchetti entered into a contract that stipulated that Mr. Marchetti would write and Knopf publish a book about the policies and practices of the C.I.A. that would provide the American people with "vital and timely" information about the secret Subsequently, Mr. agency. the case in March had led to Marchetti and Mr. Marks agreed that they would write the book jointly.

Last March, a permanent new action were William injunction was issued in Fed-Colby, director of the C.I.A., eral Court in Alexandria, Va., forbidding Mr. Marchetti's "further breaching" the terms and conditions of the C.I.A.'s This is only the second secrecy agreement, which he

gon papers suit-that legal any classified information reaction has been brought lating to intelligence activagainst the Federal Govern- ities, sources and methods ment to overturn an injunc- that had not previously been tion against publication of placed in the public domain material the Government by prior disclosure by the Government. The court further ruled that all material relating to the agency be submitted to the C.I.A. for examination 30 days in advance of any publication.

In compliance with the order, Mr. Marchetti and Mr. Marks submitted to the C.I.A. on Aug. 27, 1973, a typewritten manuscript consisting of 517 pages. On Sept. 26, the C.I.A.'s acting general counsel delivered to the authors' lawyers a 19-page document 339 deletions, amounting to between 15 and 20 per cent of the book, and ported secreey agreements ascerted that the manuscript

The censored version of the manuscript was submit-Floyd Abrams, who was ted to knopf on that same one of the lawyers repredate by the authors. The senting The New York Times publisher has not yet seen

Knopf, said previous court Mr. Marchetti and his lawyers with C.I.A. officials brought out the information that some of the material censored by the agency had been acquired by Mr. Marchetti after his employment with the C.I.A. or was already in the public domain.

C.I.A. Restores Portions

The C.I.A. agreed and, on Ocvt. 15, the agency released 114 of the original 339 deletions for publication, leaving still censored 225 portions, or roughly 10 per cent of the manuscript.

By their act of censorship," the suit alleges, "defendants have substantially impaired and invaded the right of plaintiffs to publish the book 'The C.I.A. and the Cult of Intelligence,' and have deprived the public of the right to receive vital information regarding the conduct of the Government."

In seeking redress, the suit asks that the 225 deletions be restored, that the authors be permitted to submit. and Knopf to publish and sell, the uncensored manuscript, that all Governmental interference with the publication cease, and that the secrecy agreements signed by Mr. Marchetti and Mr. Marks be' declared "null and void."

In related actions, the suit asks that Mr. Colby and Secretary of State Kissinger provide, with in 15 days, all data and documents relating to the decision to censor the 225 portions of the book, the security classifications of each item consored ,the manner in which the dicision to censor was made and the names and addresses of all those involved in the dicision.

"An Incredible Thing"

Robert Bernstein, president of Knopf, said at a news conference in his office that "it was an incredible thing to receive from the Government a censored manuscript with the deleted portions actually cut out of the book with scissors." (The Knopf suit alleges that all of the deleted material had been placed in public domain.)

"I am seriously thinking of publishing the book with all. the deleted material appearing as white spaces," he said.

Mr. Marchetti, who was present at the conference with Mr. Marks, said the suit sought to uncover just what the agenc considers classified material. "Much of it is silly," he said yesterday. "One of the items originally deleted and then restored had to do with a training installation in Virginia called The Farm.

Mr. Marchetti and Mr. Marks are represented in the suit by Melvin L. Wulf and John H. F. Shattuck of the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation.

A spokesman for the C.I.A. said late yesterday that there would be no comment at this time because the case was before the courts. A State Department spokesman said, "We know nothing about the suit here "